SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I)	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	AR		1310C 369 33882	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
HARRY ANTONE	LLI	NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ume, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ad	dress) NOTE: IN LANI	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888		LAND I Attorneys (If Known)	NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
	T	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	16 16	
CONTRACT	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability □ 385 Property Damag Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	RY	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
又 1 Original ☐ 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	erred from		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A		ll statutes unless diversity):	<u> </u>	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:					
DATE		OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Harry Antonelli	: CIVIL ACTION
NW Anancial systems, 11	nc: NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 thr	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decision of tanying plaintiff Social Security Bene	he Secretary of Health efits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	uired to be designated for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	\bowtie
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury or pr	roperty damage from	()
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) is complex and that need special or is side of this form for a detailed explain.	ntense management by	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any one	of the other tracks.	()
03/30/12 Date 215-540-8888	CraigThor Kimmer Attorney-at-law 817-188-2864	Harry Antonelli Attorney for Kimmel@credit	
Tolonhono	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to bassignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: 4 Point Pleasant Ne. Bees)	en's point NT 08223			
Address of Defendant: 507 Prodential Rd., Hors	sham pa 19844			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
(Use Reverse Side For A	<u> </u>			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a),	Yes□ No.			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	→			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No.			
action in this court?	. /			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	Yes No No			
terminated action in this court?	yes□ No 📉			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	- 4-			
	Yes No No			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	D. District Living Comm			
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 			
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury			
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please			
	specify)			
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
All other Federal Question Cases SV.S.C. \$ 1692				
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTI	FICATION			
I, Charles Thork Kimmel, counsel of record do hereby certify	tegory)			
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	pelief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: 03/30/12	52100			
Attorney at-Law	Attorney I.D.#			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court			
except as noted above.				
DATE: <u>03 30 A</u>				
Attornovat-Law	Attorney LD #			

CIV. 609 (6/08)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 HARRY ANTONELLI, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: V. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 7 JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 .10 COMPLAINT 11 HARRY ANTONELLI ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 17 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 18 19 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 20 Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 21 2. 22 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 23 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 24 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 25

- 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Beesley's Point, New Jersey 08223.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Beginning in February 2012, and continuing through March 2012, Defendant constantly and continuously placed harassing and abusive collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt Defendant was seeking to collect arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
 - 12. Plaintiff is a disabled, senior citizen.
 - 13. Defendant harassed Plaintiff by making continuous calls to his home phone

11

10

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

number.

- 14. Plaintiff regularly received automated telephone calls from: (888) 871-6484, which the undersigned has confirmed is a telephone number belonging to Defendant.
 - 15. Defendant identified the debtor as "Dan Radachak."
- 16. Plaintiff is not "Dan Radachak" and a "Dan Radachak" does not live at Plaintiff's residence.
- 17. During the relevant period, Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, at least two (2) times a day, sometimes calling as frequently as four (4) times a day.
- 18. In addition to calling Plaintiff, Defendant would also leave voice mail messages on Plaintiff's home answering machine stating, in part, "(888) 871-6484. Again that's (888) 871-6484 for Dan Radachak. Thank you. This is NCO Financial Systems. We are a debt collection company. This is an attempt to collect a debt. Please call us back and refer to your ID Code 3R2NZ2."
- 19. Wanting to stop Defendant's repeated and constant collection calls, Plaintiff contacted Defendant and spoke with a female collector.
- 20. Plaintiff informed the collector that he was not "Dan Radachak" and did not know a "Dan Radachak."
 - 21. Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop contacting him.
- 22. Following this telephone call, Defendant failed to investigate or verify contact information prior to and after calling Plaintiff.
 - 23. Defendant failed to update its records to avoid further harassment of Plaintiff.
- 24. Instead, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiff in its attempts to collect a debt of another person.

25. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, and an invasion of privacy.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

26. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

Count I

- 27. Defendant's conduct violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with any person other than the consumer more than once when not requested to do so by such person.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff multiple times regarding the debt of another person, despite knowing that Plaintiff did not want to be contacted.
- 28. Defendant's conduct violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating with a person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector, without the prior consent of the consumer.
 - b. Here, Defendant contacted Plaintiff regarding the debt of another person, a

 Dan Radachak, and disclosed to Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a

 debt, and Defendant did not have the prior consent of the debtor prior to

contacting Plaintiff.

- 29. Defendant's conduct violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it harassed Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt, by calling him two (2) to four (4) times a day about a debt of another person.
- 30. Defendant's conduct violated §1692f of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt.
 - a. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by failing to update its records and to stop calling Plaintiff after Plaintiff advised Defendant that it was calling the wrong individual.

- 1	
1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HARRY ANTONELLI, respectfully prays for a judgment as
2	follows:
3	a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
4	1692k(a)(1);
5	b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to
6	15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
7	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
8	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
9	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
0	
1 1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
12	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, HARRY ANTONELLI, demands a jury trial in
13	this case.
14	
15	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
16	DATED: 03 30 12 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.
17	By:
18	CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID # 57100
19	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
20	Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864
21	Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com
22	
2324	
25	
23	